

46

Sadowsky, Steve

From: Laura Morrison [lcmorrison@prodigy.net]
Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2005 9:28 PM
To: Steve Colburn; Steve Colburn
Subject: FW Tere's Thoughts on LHD : West Lynn hous

Steve - Here are Tere's thoughts on the district issue.

From: Tere O'Connell [mailto:tereoconnell@sboglobal.net]
Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2005 8:43 PM
To: LCMorrison@prodigy.net
Subject: Re: West Lynn house

Hi Laura,

In fact, I believe the ordinance says that 51% of the buildings need to be contributing to the district. West Lynn is a major street in our neighborhood (aside from 5th and 6th, the most significant street), and it is reasonable for one to look at the integrity of that street on it's own merits. It is also reasonable to theorize that LHDs may be divided into smaller districts within the neighborhood boundaries. If she really presses on this issue, I don't feel completely equipped to say that West Lynn would certainly be a separate district from the surrounding neighborhood, but it does appear to me that it could make a viable district on it's own. Therefore, I believe that the argument that this significant street is on the cusp of qualification is a valid point.

I hope that helps. Have you talked to Charles Price?

Tere

On Feb 16, 2005, at 7:52 PM, Laura Morrison wrote:

Tere -

Is the Local HD requirement to have 50% contributing properties?

The reason I ask is that-

Jackie asked last week for us to determine whether loosing this one house could have an impact on ability to become a historic district. Clearly we're way over the threshold area wide but my thought is to suggest that one scenario might be to have several LD's once we get NHRD status, and conceptually one could be W Lynn. Some might wish to make the whole NHRD area a LHD but if there is objection who knows - and I don't think it would inappropriate to suggest this in this situation especially

2/17/2005

because...

Well don't you know, based on the Aug survey data I have from Terri, the W Lynn addresses show exactly 50% contributing. Of course there are addresses at corners of W Lynn not recorded as W Lynn so that's just an estimate but it shows that a W Lynn LHD is right now on the cusp of qualification and yes that 1 demo could make a difference.

So I look forward to your answer on whether LHD's have the 50% requirement and also I'd appreciate your thoughts on the possibility of putting this argument forward at council tomorrow.

Thanks,

Laura

From: Tere O'Connell [mailto:tereconnell@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2005 6:18 PM
To: Laura Morrison
Subject: Fwd: West Lynn house

FYI!

Begin forwarded message:

From: Tere O'Connell <tereconnell@sbcglobal.net>
Date: February 16, 2005 6:17:47 PM CST
To: charles price <chrlsprc@yahoo.com>
Subject: Re: West Lynn house

Hi Charles,

Laura Morrison sent me this note after I wrote back to you:

At the Landmark Commission hearing last November Dolf Dildy testified that he quoted a price of \$380k to the 'prospective' owner at that time. Since sold.

I would think it sold for less than that. It's the first house on the right as you come up West Lynn from 6th, the one with the colored lights. I agree, it is a really wonderful house- I've always liked it too. I haven't been in it, so can't say what condition it's in. It needs some TLC on the outside. If a serious buyer presents himself before Council and the current owner is at least open to selling, it is pretty likely that Council will support the historic designation. If it does get designated, you'd be eligible for a pretty

2/17/2005

sweet property tax break off your city and ACC taxes if the house is fixed up.

I'm really glad you're not interested in it for the politics, those things never pan out. Laura said that she gave you a call, and you should talk with her. She's been involved with this house on a more detailed level than I have. This is exciting. I hope that it works out. Let me know.

Tere

On Feb 16, 2005, at 10:13 AM, charles price wrote:

At \$287,000, I think I'm pretty darn serious. At \$500,000, I'm not serious at all. Is it for sale? How do I get info about it? Which of the two big white houses are we talking about?

I'm not so interested in presenting an offer just for political purposes, but if it is really for sale, I have always admired it.

Charles

Do you Yahoo!?

Yahoo! Search presents - Jib Jab's 'Second Term'

Sadowsky, Steve

From: Linda MacNeilage [lmacneilage@austin.rr.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2005 7:55 AM
To: Steve Colburn; Steve Colburn
Subject: Fwd: Thank you for returning my call

Begin forwarded message:

> **From:** William.Manno@ci.austin.tx.us
> **Date:** Tue Feb 15, 2005 10:58:46 AM US/Central
> **To:** lmacneilage@austin.rr.com
> **Subject:** RE: Thank you for returning my call
>
> I was actually referring to the property owner as having his "wires
> crossed"
> as that is the person who called us.
>
> -----Original Message-----
> **From:** Linda MacNeilage [mailto:lmacneilage@austin.rr.com]
> **Sent:** Tuesday, February 15, 2005 10:16 AM
> **To:** Manno, William [APD]
> **Subject:** Thank you for returning my call
>
>
> **From:** Linda MacNeilage <lmacneilage@austin.rr.com>
> **Date:** Tue Feb 15, 2005 8:35:27 AM US/Central
> **To:** Michael.Jones@ci.austin.tx.us
> **Subject:** Verification of telephone communication from 2/11/05
>
>
> Dear Lieutenant Manno,
>
> Thank you for returning my telephone call this morning about the call
> received by the APD on Thursday, February 10th concerning 609 West
> Lynn. I understand from our conversation that you spoke with Mr.
> Hunter Godwin on Thursday, and that he told you that he worked for the
> owner of the property.
>
> I understand that it was your impression that Mr. Godwin had "gotten
> his wires crossed" about the specifics relating to two neighbors being
> on the property earlier that day, but it was clear to you that no
> crime had occurred. It seems the issue that he and the ladies who were
> with him discussed with you related specifically to the matter of being
> able to take action in the future should anyone step onto the property
> without his employer's permission.

2/17/2005

Fwd: Thank you for returning my call

- >
- > I would appreciate your letting me know if I have accurately understood
- > our telephone communication.
- >
- > Thank you very much.
- >
- >
- > Linda MacNeilage
- > 478-7069
- >